



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/813,515	03/20/2001		Michael A. Angiulo	MICR0202	3251	
27792	7590	12/07/2004		EXAMINER		
MICROSO			DETWILER, BRIAN J			
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON 600 108TH AVENUE N.E., SUITE 507			SON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BELLEVUE			2173			

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/813,51	5	ANGIULO ET AL.					
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian J. De	etwiler	2173					
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress				
THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time marger SIX (6) MONTH: - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within - Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn be available under the provisions of 37 CFF of from the mailing date of this communication, specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a is specified above, the maximum statutory per the set or extended period for reply will, by state Office later than three months after the matigustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve i. a reply within the statu iriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 1	4 June 2004.							
2a)☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clain	ns								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14	49 is/are pending in the applicat above claim(s) is/are without is/are allowed. 13,15-29,31-45 and 47-79 is/are allowed. 3,30 and 46 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction an	drawn from coa			·				
Application Papers									
9)∏ The specific	ation is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•						
10)☐ The drawing	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
<u> </u>	at drawing sheet(s) including the cor declaration is objected to by the	•		-					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119								
a) All b) Certi 2. Certi 3. Copic	ment is made of a claim for fore Some * c) None of: fied copies of the priority docum- fied copies of the priority docum- es of the certified copies of the p cation from the International Bur ched detailed Office action for a	ents have been ents have been priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmo=*/c\	·								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/813,515

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Declaration

The declaration filed on 14 June 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Arles reference. A new non-final Office action is included herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10-13, 15-20, 22, 26-29, 31-36, 38, 42-45, and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Arles Image Web Page Creator 2.1" (hereinafter Arles).

Referring to claims 1, 18, and 34, the Arles reference discloses in Figures 1-12 and pages 1-15 a method, article of manufacture, and system for enabling a user to automatically introduce a plurality of thumbnail images into a Web page to represent a plurality of original images. In Figure 2 and pages 1 and 4, the Arles reference discloses enabling the user to select the plurality of original images. In Figure 6 and pages 1-4, the Arles reference discloses producing a thumbnail image for each original image. In Figures 4, 10, and 15 and page 7, the Arles reference discloses determining a position for each thumbnail image on the Web page in accord with a format specified by a template. In Figure 8 and page 14, the Arles reference discloses automatically providing a hyperlink from each thumbnail image to each corresponding original

Application/Control Number: 09/813,515

Art Unit: 2173

image. In Figures 2 and 6 and pages 1 and 3, the Arles reference discloses enabling the user to save the Web page including the plurality of thumbnail images and hyperlinks, wherein each thumbnail appears in the position specified by the template and selection of a thumbnail images causes activation of the hyperlink associated with the thumbnail image, which causes the corresponding original image to be retrieved for display.

Referring to claims 2-4, 19, 20, 35, and 36, the Arles reference teaches in Figures 4 and 10 and pages 7, 8, and 15 that users can create and save their own templates for defining formats for displaying the plurality of thumbnail images. Users can subsequently select from among the template files and generate web pages with the corresponding formats. Because users have full control over the design and customization of templates, the Arles software provides for the creation of montage templates.

Referring to claims 6, 22, and 38, the Arles reference discloses in Figure 3 that a default height and width of 100 is employed for each thumbnail image.

Referring to claims 10, 26, and 42, the Arles reference discloses in Figures 2 and 7 and pages 3 and 11 the ability to display a preview view of the created HTML pages to the user. The Arles reference further discloses in Figures 4, 9, and 10 and pages 7, 8, 10, 14, and 15 that users can highly customize and therefore edit the HTML pages.

Referring to claims 11, 27, and 43, the software disclosed by the Arles reference provides the user with the capability to freely change the selected template at any time and recreate the HTML pages based on the newly selected template. Subsequently, the user can preview the new HTML pages as discussed above or select a new template and repeat the process.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,515

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 12, 28, and 44, the software disclosed by the Arles reference will generate thumbnails and include all images contained within the specified source folder.

Accordingly, a user can add or delete thumbnail images by simply adding or deleting the original images from the source folder and rebuilding the HTML pages.

Referring to claims 13, 29, and 45, the Arles reference discloses in Figure 4 the ability to add, delete, and modify thumbnail captions.

Referring to claims 15, 31, and 47, the Arles reference discloses in Figure 2 and pages 1 and 4 selecting a plurality of images to be represented as thumbnails from a source folder, which is a type of storage.

Referring to claims 16, 17, 32, 33, 48, and 49, the software disclosed by the Arles reference provides users with full control over the HTML design by allowing them to create and select templates. Said templates are simply HTML files comprising any of a number of features that could easily include links to other galleries via a navigation bar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7-9, 21, 23-25, 37, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Arles Image Web Page Creator" as applied to claims 1, 18, and 34 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,675,354 (Claussen et al).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 5, 7-9, 21, 23-25, 37, and 39-41, the Arles reference discloses on page 1 that the software "creates high quality thumbnail images and generates HTML pages, complete with links to original images." Figures 3 and 8 show thumbnail data and hyperlink data that is used to create the HTML pages. The thumbnail data, as illustrated in Figure 3, includes height and width attributes. Figure 4 illustrates the use of caption or title data for use with the thumbnails. The Arles reference, however, fails to disclose using the extensible stylesheet language (XSL) to generate said HTML pages. Claussen, though, explains in column 2: lines 2-8 that XSL is a well-known technology for generating and serving dynamic web page content. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use XSL as taught by Claussen to generate the HTML pages from the Arles reference. Claussen explains in column 2: lines 5-8 that XSL allows for pure programming logic to be embedded inside a page's markup, which advantageously increases design flexibility.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14, 30, and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In combination with the claimed subject matter, the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest enabling a user to drag and drop an image onto a web page being edited and subsequently automatically generate a thumbnail image for inclusion in the web page. The closest prior art,

Art Unit: 2173

the Arles reference discussed above, teaches on page 6 enabling a user to drag and drop images within the image explorer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Detwiler whose telephone number is 571-272-4049. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8-5:30 and alternating Fridays 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Cabeca can be reached on 571-272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bjd

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINF TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100